

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **SOUTHERN DIVISION**

13 FEMTO-SEC TECH, INC., a California
14 corporation,

15 Plaintiff,

16 and

17 LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL
18 SECURITY, LLC, a Delaware limited
19 liability company,

20 Plaintiff- Intervenor,

21 v.

22 LENSAR, INC., a Delaware corporation,

23 Defendant.
24
25
26
27
28

8:15-cv-01689-JVS-JCG

**[PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION TO DISMISS FOR
LACK OF PATENTABLE
SUBJECT MATTER UNDER 35
U.S.C. § 101**

[Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)]

1 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Lensar, Inc.'s Motion to
2 Dismiss for Lack of Patentable Subject Matter Under 35 U.S.C. § 101, pursuant to
3 Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), the Complaint (Dkt. No. 20) by Plaintiffs Femto-Sec
4 Tech, Inc. and Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The Court, having
5 received, read and considered the Motion, and good cause appearing, **GRANTS**
6 Defendant Lensar, Inc.'s Motion on the grounds that the patent-in-suit is invalid as
7 being directed to patent-ineligible subject matter pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 101 and
8 the standards set forth in *Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank, Int'l*, 134 S. Ct. 2347
9 (2014). The Complaint is hereby dismissed with prejudice.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11
12 Dated: _____

The Honorable James V. Selna